

# UC Irvine

## UC Irvine Previously Published Works

### Title

Managing Pharmacotherapy in People Living With HIV and Concomitant Malignancy.

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3c94f9c9>

### Journal

The Annals of pharmacotherapy, 53(8)

### ISSN

1060-0280

### Authors

Olin, Jacqueline L  
Klibanov, Olga  
Chan, Alexandre  
et al.

### Publication Date


2019-08-01

### DOI

10.1177/1060028019833038

Peer reviewed

# Managing Pharmacotherapy in People Living With HIV and Concomitant Malignancy

Annals of Pharmacotherapy  
2019, Vol. 53(8) 812–832  
© The Author(s) 2019  
Article reuse guidelines:  
sagepub.com/journals-permissions  
DOI: 10.1177/1060028019833038  
journals.sagepub.com/home/aop  


Jacqueline L. Olin, MS, PharmD, BCPS, CDE, FASHP, FCCP<sup>1</sup>,  
Olga Klivanov, PharmD, BCPS<sup>1</sup>, Alexandre Chan, PharmD, MPH, FCCP, FISOPP,  
BCPS, BCOP<sup>2,3</sup>, and Linda M. Spooner, PharmD, BCPS AQ-ID, FASHP, FCCP<sup>4</sup>

## Abstract

**Objective:** To describe data with selected malignancies in people living with HIV (PLWH) and HIV in individuals affected by both conditions and to summarize drug-drug interactions (DDIs) with clinical recommendations for point-of-care review of combination therapies. **Data Sources:** Literature searches were performed (2005 to December 2018) in MEDLINE and EMBASE to identify studies of malignancies in PLWH in the modern era. **Study Selection and Data Extraction:** Article bibliographies and drug interaction databases were reviewed. Search terms included *HIV*, *antiretroviral therapy*, *antineoplastic agents*, *malignancies*, and *drug interactions*. **Data Synthesis:** In the pre-antiretroviral therapy (ART) era, malignancies in PLWH were AIDS-defining illnesses, and life expectancy was shorter. Nowadays, PLWH are living longer and developing malignancies, including lung, anal, and prostate cancers. Concurrently, the oncology landscape has evolved, with novel oral targeted agents and immunotherapies becoming routine elements of care. The increased need for and complexity with antineoplastics in PLWH has led to recommendations for multidisciplinary care of this unique population. Evaluation of DDIs requires review of metabolic pathways, absorption mechanisms, and various drug transporters associated with antineoplastics and ART. **Relevance to Patient Care and Clinical Practice:** This review summarizes available data of non-AIDS-defining malignancies, principles of HIV care in the patient with malignancy, and guidance for assessing DDIs between antineoplastics and ART. Summary DDI tables provide point-of-care recommendations. **Conclusions:** The availability of ART has transformed AIDS into a chronic medical condition, and PLWH are experiencing age-related malignancies. Pharmacists play an important role in the management of this patient population.

## Keywords

acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, antineoplastic agents, immunotherapy, drug interactions, antiretroviral therapy/highly active, drug therapy/combination, HIV infections/drug therapy, neoplasms/drug therapy

It is estimated that more than 36 million people worldwide are living with HIV/AIDS.<sup>1</sup> Over the past 20 years, management of HIV with antiretroviral therapy (ART) has led to substantial improvements in morbidity and mortality. Individuals who initiated ART in the past 10 years and achieved adequate CD4 counts have life expectancy close to that of the general population.<sup>2</sup> The success of ART has changed the outlook of HIV from a short life span to a manageable chronic disease. As the population of people living with HIV (PLWH) age, their health care needs become more complex as age-related comorbidities develop.

In the pre-ART era, malignancies in PLWH were considered to be AIDS-defining malignancies (ADMs), with Kaposi sarcoma and non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) accounting for 99% of ADMs.<sup>3</sup> In the current ART era, the decrease in mortality rates has led to a significant decline of ADMs but increased incidence of non-AIDS-defining

malignancies (NADMs), with PLWH having a higher risk of NADMs than the general population.<sup>4–8</sup> The most frequent NADMs in PLWH include lung, anal, prostate, liver, breast, head/neck, rectal, and renal cancers.<sup>4,5,9,10</sup> Contributors to development of NADMs include aging, presence of viral coinfections, or cigarette smoking. A recent modeling study projected a shift in the cancer burden among PLWH,

<sup>1</sup>Wingate University School of Pharmacy, Wingate, NC, USA

<sup>2</sup>National University of Singapore, Singapore

<sup>3</sup>National Cancer Center Singapore, Singapore

<sup>4</sup>Massachusetts College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences University, School of Pharmacy, Worcester, MA, USA

## Corresponding Author:

Jacqueline L. Olin, Levine College of Health Sciences, Wingate University School of Pharmacy, 515 N Main St, Wingate, NC 28174, USA.  
Email: jolin@wingate.edu

suggesting that by 2030 prostate and lung cancers will emerge as the most common NADMs.<sup>4</sup> These epidemiological shifts in the observed types of cancers and the aging of the PLWH population increase the likelihood that PLWH will receive concomitant antineoplastics and ART.

Traditionally, management of malignancies has involved the administration of intravenous cytotoxic agents given over multiple cycles with defined time periods. In the past decade, the oncology landscape has dramatically changed, with exponential growth of numbers of novel oral targeted agents and immunotherapies. In the past 5 years, more than 60 new active substances have been launched for 23 different tumor types and many more immunotherapies, and targeted agents are still in the pipeline.<sup>11</sup> As malignancy treatment strategies become more complex, multiple lines of therapy are used, and individual agents may be administered for longer periods of time than previously. Although oral antineoplastics have been advantageous for patients with convenience and improved quality of life, there are additional considerations for patient safety, such as drug interactions, comorbidities, and reduced contact with the health care system. Patients with malignancies receive multiple medications both for cancer management and for supportive care needs.

The increased need for antineoplastics in PLWH and the additional complexities associated with the modern cancer chemotherapies has led to recommendations for multidisciplinary care of this unique population.<sup>10,12</sup> It is recommended that PLWH who develop cancer should receive treatment for both conditions as recommended by standard guidelines for either condition, with drug adjustments as necessary.<sup>10</sup> Information regarding PLWH with concomitant malignancies, particularly management of drug interactions, is scarce. This review summarizes available data of managing selected NADMs in PLWH and HIV in individuals affected by both conditions.

### Data Sources

Literature searches were performed in PubMed and EMBASE (2005 to December 2018) to identify studies of malignancies in PLWH in the modern ART era. Article bibliographies and drug interaction databases were reviewed. Search terms included *HIV*, *antiretroviral therapy*, *antineoplastic agents*, *malignancies*, *drug interactions*, *acquired immunodeficiency syndrome*, *immunotherapy*, *drug therapy/combination*, *HIV infections/drug therapy*, and *neoplasms/drug therapy*. Animal and non-English language studies were excluded. Information from Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved drug products, the FDA Drug Development and Drug Interactions website, and clinical practice guidelines were the main sources utilized to assess metabolic pathways of medications.<sup>13-15</sup> To predict potential drug-drug interactions (DDIs), prescribing information and the University of Liverpool HIV drug-drug

interaction checker were consulted for each drug.<sup>13,16</sup> Attention was given to prior DDI studies, case reports/case series, in vitro data, and modeling studies.

## Non-AIDS-Defining Malignancies

### Lung Cancer

The risk of lung cancer is generally 2 to 3 times higher in PLWH compared with the HIV-negative population.<sup>9,17,18</sup> Furthermore, PLWH diagnosed with lung cancer have a higher risk for mortality. A meta-analysis of 12 observational studies that evaluated the impact of HIV infection on the risk of mortality among lung cancer patients reported a pooled relative risk of mortality risk among lung cancer patients with HIV infection of 1.48 (95% CI = 1.22-1.78).<sup>19</sup> The mechanisms underlying the increased rates of lung cancer are multifactorial. Cigarette smoke, along with HIV infection and chronic inflammation, exacerbates oxidative stress, leading to oxidative DNA lesions and DNA double-strand breaks.<sup>20</sup> PLWH are also more likely to be diagnosed with lung cancer at a younger age compared with the general population. It is hypothesized that these patients could be predisposed to other immunological risk factors that are associated with the development of lung cancer.<sup>20</sup>

Some recommend that PLWH and non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) should be treated as per usual management of NSCLC.<sup>10</sup> Evidence or guidance is not clear for other lung cancers. PLWH and NSCLC may be more likely to have benign lung nodules; hence, infectious etiologies must be excluded. Reasons for poor performance status in PLWH should be evaluated when making treatment decisions because treatment of NSCLC may potentially reverse cancer-related symptoms.<sup>10</sup> Modifications of cancer therapy should not be made solely on the basis of HIV status. As immunotherapy checkpoint inhibitors such as pembrolizumab are becoming more commonly used in NSCLC, this raises the question of whether these agents may benefit PLWH who are diagnosed with lung cancer. Limited studies suggest that tumor PD-L1 expression is similar or higher in PLWH.<sup>21,22</sup> Two case series were published with combined 15 patients who received ART and either pembrolizumab or nivolumab for NSCLC.<sup>23,24</sup> One patient (with baseline CD4 count of 194 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>) received 9 doses of nivolumab and experienced grade 3 pneumonitis.<sup>24</sup> No other grade 3 or 4 immune-related adverse events were noted. Ongoing studies are evaluating whether PD-1 inhibitors are safe to be administered in PLWH.<sup>25</sup>

### Anal Cancer

Anal cancer is common among PLWH. In the United States, the risk of developing anal cancer is 19-fold higher (standardized incidence ratio [SIR] = 19.1; 95% CI =

18.1-20.0) compared with the general population.<sup>26</sup> Anal cancer, similar to cervical cancer, is caused by persistent human papillomavirus (HPV) infection because of immunosuppression; HPV 16 and 18 subtypes are predominantly associated with anal cancer. Anal cancer may arise from a precursor dysplastic lesion—namely, anal squamous epithelial neoplasia (AIN)—which is more commonly observed in PLWH. AIN is a known precursor to anal cancer and is highly influenced by HIV seropositivity, low CD4 counts, and serotype of HPV infection. A recent study found no evidence to support an adjunctive role for HPV vaccination to improve outcomes to prevent new anal HPV infections or for treatment of anal high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions on biopsy in PLWH who are aged 27 years or older.<sup>27</sup>

Treatment of anal cancer in PLWH, which conventionally involves chemoradiation, should follow usual management standards as for those who are not infected with HIV.<sup>10</sup> Recent studies have evaluated whether cetuximab, an epidermal growth receptor inhibitor, in addition to concurrent radiation with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil would improve locoregional control in patients with squamous cell anal cancer.<sup>28</sup> However, more than 70% of patients receiving this regimen experienced grade 3 or higher toxicities, with 26% experiencing grade 4 toxicities. Therefore, the addition of cetuximab to routine care is not recommended for management of anal cancer in PLWH.<sup>10</sup> Findings from an observational study that evaluated the survival and safety outcomes in PLWH who have good control of their HIV infections suggested that HIV-positive patients with anal cancer had survival outcomes similar to anal cancer patients who were HIV negative.<sup>29</sup> On multivariate analysis, only male gender and higher stage of cancer were predictive of worse survival outcomes.

### Other Cancers

The status of immunosuppression is often linked to increased risk of cancer in PLWH. However, several reports have suggested that the incidence rates of a number of cancers that are commonly screened in the community (such as breast cancer, prostate cancer, and colorectal cancer) may be much lower in PLWH. The incidence of breast, prostate, and colorectal cancer in PLWH against the general population were compared using data from the HIV/AIDS Cancer Match Study (1996-2012) in the United States.<sup>30</sup> It was reported that PLWH had lower rates of invasive breast (SIR = 0.63; 95% CI = 0.58-0.68), prostate (SIR = 0.48; 95% CI = 0.46-0.51), proximal colon (SIR = 0.67; 95% CI = 0.59-0.75), distal colon (SIR = 0.51; 95% CI = 0.43-0.59), and rectal cancers (SIR = 0.69; 95% CI = 0.61-0.77). This lower risk is present for both early-stage tumors that are primarily detected by screening and larger tumors that are likely clinically detected, arguing against a screening effect

as the primary explanation for these HIV-related cancer deficits. Some studies suggest that HIV could potentially affect breast and prostate cancer risk by altering hormone levels, hence possibly leading to a lower risk of developing cancer.<sup>31,32</sup> Specific recommendations for management of these cancers in PLWH are not available.

## Management of HIV Infection in the Patient With Cancer

### Initiation/Continuation of ART

Initiation of ART in PLWH regardless of CD4 count is recommended to reduce morbidity and mortality associated with the infection and to prevent transmission.<sup>15</sup> ART should not be delayed by a diagnosis of malignancy; similarly, assessment of HIV infection and subsequent initiation of ART should not delay treatment of the malignancy.<sup>10,15</sup> A consideration for ART initiation in some patients is the risk of unmasking lymphoma immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS); this was studied in 482 patients with lymphoma in the Center for AIDS Research Network of Integrated Clinical Systems.<sup>33</sup> A total of 56 (12%) of these patients met the criteria for unmasking lymphoma IRIS, and this occurred a median of 2.2 months before the diagnosis of lymphoma was made. However, the benefits of ART treatment on lymphoma mortality are substantial. Another assessment of 224 patients diagnosed with lymphoma between 1996 and 2011 who were alive 6 months following diagnosis and having at least 2 HIV RNA values showed that the adjusted hazard ratio for mortality with cumulative HIV viremia during the 6 months following diagnosis was 1.35 (95% CI = 1.11-1.65).<sup>34</sup> The authors concluded that the occurrence of each 1-unit log<sub>10</sub> increase in HIV RNA throughout the 6 months following lymphoma diagnosis results in a 35% increase in mortality, thus emphasizing the importance of early initiation of ART.

ART should be initiated immediately, and it is preferable to start at least 7 days prior to initiation of cancer treatment.<sup>10</sup> If antineoplastics have already been started, ART can be initiated once tolerance to the cancer regimen has been established. There may be exceptions where ART should be initiated immediately without regard for timing of antineoplastics, such as in patients with progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy.<sup>10</sup> Additionally, if a patient is coinfecting with hepatitis B virus, the ART components should provide coverage for both viruses.<sup>10,15</sup>

Two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) in combination with an integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI) is a recommended initial regimen.<sup>15</sup> INSTI-based regimens are preferred because they are effective and are better tolerated than protease inhibitor (PI)- or nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-based regimens.<sup>15</sup> Studies have demonstrated durable efficacy with

INSTI-based regimens in patients with cancer.<sup>35,36</sup> One observational cohort study of 30 patients who initiated or were changed to a raltegravir-based ART as a result of a cancer diagnosis showed a mean increase in CD4 count of 49 cells/mL; only 1 discontinuation as a result of virological failure, which was attributed to nonadherence; and no additive adverse effects attributed to ART.<sup>35</sup> A retrospective study in 154 patients that compared various HIV regimens showed that INSTI-based treatment was 9 times (95% CI = 1.4-50.8) more likely to be effective, as shown by HIV RNA <200 copies/mL at 6 months, than PI-based treatment.<sup>36</sup> Adverse effects related to ART occurred in 35% (17/49) of patients receiving PIs and 3% (1/30) of patients receiving INSTIs.<sup>36</sup> Thus, INSTI-based treatment is an attractive option for this population of patients.

Options for INSTI-based regimens include bictegravir/tenofovir alafenamide (TAF)/emtricitabine, dolutegravir/abacavir/lamivudine (only if HLA-B\*5701 testing is negative), dolutegravir plus tenofovir/emtricitabine, or raltegravir plus tenofovir/emtricitabine.<sup>15</sup> Tenofovir is available as either tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) or TAF; the former is associated with less elevations in lipids, whereas the latter has less renal and bone toxicity. Recommended initial regimens for patients in certain clinical situations that may warrant use of alternative agents that have less data in clinical trials or may be disadvantageous with respect to other characteristics, such as adverse effects or pill burden, are also described. These include PI-based and NNRTI-based regimens.<sup>15</sup> However, because of an increased risk of toxicity and DDIs, use of these regimens requires caution in the patient being treated for cancer.

An HIV treatment regimen should be individualized for each patient based on virological efficacy, comorbidities, DDI potential, adverse effect profile, dosing frequency, food requirements, pill burden, results of resistance testing, and cost.<sup>15</sup> For example, raltegravir-, bictegravir-, and dolutegravir-based regimens can be taken without regard to food; this may be useful for patients who experience chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. Additionally, use of TAF instead of TDF is preferable in those patients who are at risk of or who already have bone mineral density loss secondary to antineoplastics. HIV specialists can assist in optimal regimen selection for patients with unique needs. Communication and coordination between specialists is important for timely treatment initiation and follow-up.<sup>37</sup>

For patients who are already taking ART, interruptions should be avoided because this leads to immune system compromise, opportunistic infections (OIs), and death.<sup>38</sup> In fact, continuing ART may lead to improved tolerance of cancer chemotherapy, better response rates, and improved survival.<sup>10</sup> The ART regimen may need to be modified to reduce the risk of DDIs and adverse effects, and this should be done in collaboration with HIV and oncology pharmacists.<sup>10</sup> Recognition of factors that may compromise

virological efficacy in cancer patients is helpful in taking a proactive approach to adjustments in ART as needed. For example, a patient may have difficulty swallowing tablets and capsules as a result of the cancer and/or its chemotherapy, thus requiring use of liquid or crushable dosage forms.<sup>37</sup> Changes to a patient's current regimen can be made prior to initiation of antineoplastics to minimize toxicity, avoid DDIs, and prevent missed doses.<sup>37</sup> As with initiation of treatment, changes in treatment should be discussed among providers to determine the optimal approach for the individual patient.

## ART and Antineoplastic Drug Interactions

Assessing possible DDIs between antineoplastics and ART is a crucial component of care in PLWH and malignancy. Metabolic pathways, absorption mechanisms, and the role of various drug transporters should be considered when coadministering antineoplastics and ART. Information regarding metabolic pathways for the most commonly used agents in PLWH and selected malignancies is given in Tables 1 and 2.<sup>13-15</sup> Information about less commonly prescribed ART is not included. If an ART not listed in the tables is part of a patient's regimen, clinicians should consider consulting with an expert in HIV pharmacology to discern any potential DDI.

Many ART and antineoplastic drugs are metabolized by the hepatic cytochrome P450 (CYP) system and can also induce or inhibit the CYP enzymes. Among ART, strong CYP inhibitors, such as ritonavir and cobicistat, and strong CYP inducers, including efavirenz, have a high probability of interactions with antineoplastics metabolized by the CYP.<sup>14,15</sup> The uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) 1A1 enzyme is responsible for the glucuronidation of INSTIs and several antineoplastic drugs, including etoposide, irinotecan, axitinib, and belinostat. The PI, atazanavir, and several tyrosine kinase inhibitors have the potential to inhibit UGT1A1 and alter the exposure of its substrates.<sup>15,39-41</sup>

One of the evolving areas in pharmacokinetics is the role of drug transporters in DDIs. The P-glycoprotein (P-gp) efflux transporter is widely distributed in the body and is directly responsible for the transport and elimination of many ART and antineoplastics, with some of the drugs also having the potential to modulate P-gp activity via induction or inhibition. In addition to inhibiting the CYP system, ritonavir and cobicistat also inhibit P-gp, which can lead to increased exposure of antineoplastics that depend on the P-gp for their metabolism, absorption, and/or transport.<sup>15,39,41,42</sup>

Hepatic, renal, and biliary clearance of drugs may also be affected by other drug transporters, such as the multidrug resistance-associated proteins, the breast cancer resistance protein, the organic anion-transporting polypeptides, and the organic anion and cation transporters. Evolving data with ARTs and antineoplastics suggest that many of these

**Table 1.** Metabolism Profile of Antiretroviral Agents.<sup>13-15</sup>

	CYP Substrate	CYP Inhibitor	CYP Inducer	P-glycoprotein	UGT1A1	Other Transporters
<b>NRTIs</b>						
Lamivudine	—	—	—	—	—	OCT substrate
Emtricitabine	—	—	—	—	—	MATE substrate
Abacavir	—	—	—	Substrate	—	BCRP substrate
Tenofovir DF	—	—	—	Substrate	—	OAT substrate
Tenofovir AF	—	—	—	—	—	BCRP, OAT substrate
<b>NNRTIs</b>						
Doravirine	3A4/5 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	—	—	—
Efavirenz	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2B6 <sup>a</sup>	—	3A4, 2B6, 2C19	—	—	BCRP substrate
Rilpivirine	3A4	—	—	—	—	—
<b>PIs</b>						
Atazanavir	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	Inhibitor	BCRP, OAT inhibitor
Darunavir	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2D6	—	Substrate, inducer	—	—
<b>INSTIs</b>						
Bictegravir	3A4	—	—	—	Substrate	OCT, MATE inhibitor
Dolutegravir	3A4	—	—	Substrate	Substrate	OCT, MATE inhibitor
Elvitegravir	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	—	Substrate	—
Raltegravir	—	—	—	Substrate	Substrate	BCRP substrate
<b>PK boosters</b>						
Cobicistat	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2D6	—	Inhibitor	—	BCRP, OATP inhibitor
Ritonavir	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 1A2, 2B6, 2D6	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	2B6, 2C19, 2C9, 2B6	Substrate, inhibitor	—	BCRP, MRP, OATP inhibitor

Abbreviations: AF, alafenamide fumarate; BCRP, breast cancer resistance protein; CYP, cytochrome; DF, disoproxil fumarate; INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor; MATE, multidrug and toxin extrusion protein; MRP, multidrug resistance-associated protein; NNRTI, nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NRTI, nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; OAT, organic anionic transporter; OATP, organic anion-transporting polypeptide; OCT, organic cation transporter; PI, protease inhibitor; PK, pharmacokinetic; UGT1A1, uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase 1A1.

<sup>a</sup>Major/strong.

drugs can be substrates, inducers, and/or inhibitors of these transporters.<sup>15,42-45</sup>

In addition to pharmacokinetic (PK) DDIs, additive toxicities and other pharmacodynamic interactions should also be considered. Caution should be used, and renal function should be closely monitored if TDF is coadministered with chemotherapy that is nephrotoxic or that competes for renal tubular secretion (eg, ifosfamide, platinum compounds).<sup>40,41</sup> The newer TAF prodrug results in higher levels of intracellular tenofovir in HIV-infected CD4 lymphocytes and 90% lower systemic exposure of tenofovir, thereby decreasing the risk of nephrotoxicity.<sup>15</sup> Cardiotoxicity and QT-interval prolongation is another overlapping toxicity of concern with some ART (rilpivirine, atazanavir) and multiple antineoplastic drugs such as anthracyclines, luteinizing-hormone-releasing hormone agonists/antagonists, some tyrosine kinase inhibitors, and others. Such combinations should be avoided or very closely monitored to avoid the risks of ventricular arrhythmias and sudden death.<sup>15,40,41</sup>

Given the toxicity profiles of antineoplastics and their potential narrow therapeutic indices, clinical PK studies are not ethical to conduct in many cases, and data with most combinations are lacking. The potential DDIs of the most commonly used ART with selected injectable and oral

antineoplastics are described in Tables 3 and 4.<sup>15,16,37,46-60</sup> These tables should be used as a guide, and whenever possible, oncology and HIV clinicians, along with pharmacists specializing in these fields, should review the proposed combination therapies for possible DDIs and overlapping toxicities.<sup>10</sup> The possibility of enhanced toxicity or decreased efficacy as a result of the DDI should be evaluated.<sup>10</sup> In general, ART combinations that include a boosted PI, elvitegravir with cobicistat boosting, or efavirenz have the highest risk for DDIs with antineoplastics, whereas a regimen that contains INSTIs bictegravir, dolutegravir, or raltegravir along with a NRTI such as emtricitabine, TAF, or abacavir have a minimal DDI potential.

### Laboratory Monitoring and Drug Toxicities

Baseline labs that should be performed at entry into HIV care to permit selection of initial treatment and assessment of response/toxicities include HIV RNA, HIV resistance testing, CD4 count, hepatitis B virus serologies, hepatitis C virus screening, basic metabolic panel, liver function tests, complete blood count with differential, fasting lipid profile, fasting glucose or hemoglobin A<sub>1C</sub> (A1C), urinalysis, and pregnancy test (prior to ART initiation in women of

**Table 2.** Metabolism Profile of Common Chemotherapy Agents.<sup>13-15</sup>

	CYP Substrate	CYP Inhibitor	CYP Inducer	P-glycoprotein	UGT1A1	Other Transporters
<b>ALK inhibitors</b>						
Alectinib	3A4	—	—	Inhibitor	—	BCRP inhibitor
Brigatinib	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2C8	—	—	Substrate	—	BCRP substrate
Ceritinib	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	3A4, 2C9	—	Substrate	—	—
Crizotinib	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	3A4	—	Substrate	—	OCT inhibitor
<b>Alkylating agents</b>						
Bendamustine	1A2	—	—	Substrate	—	BCRP substrate
Chlorambucil	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cyclophosphamide	3A4, 2B6, <sup>a</sup> 2C19	—	—	—	—	—
Ifosfamide	3A4, 2B6 <sup>a</sup>	3A4	3A4	—	—	—
<b>Androgen metabolism inhibitors</b>						
Abiraterone	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	1A2, 2C8, 2D6	—	—	—	OAT inhibitor
<b>Anthracyclines</b>						
Doxorubicin	—	—	—	Substrate	—	—
Epirubicin	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Anti-HER2 monoclonal antibodies</b>						
Trastuzumab	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pertuzumab	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Antiandrogen, first generation</b>						
Bicalutamide	—	3A4	—	—	—	—
Flutamide	3A4, 1A2 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	—	—	—
Nilutamide	2C19 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Antiandrogen, second generation</b>						
Apalutamide	3A4, 2C8	—	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2C19, <sup>a</sup> 2C9	Inducer	—	BCRP, OAT inducer
Enzalutamide	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2C8 <sup>a</sup>	—	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2C19, 2C9	—	—	BCRP inhibitor
<b>Antiestrogens</b>						
Tamoxifen	3A4, 2C9, <sup>a</sup> 2D6 <sup>a</sup>	2C9	3A4	—	—	—
Toremifene	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 1A2	2C9	—	—	—	—
<b>Antimetabolites</b>						
5-Fluorouracil	—	2C9	—	—	—	—
Capecitabine	—	2C9	—	—	—	—
Cytarabine	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gemcitabine	—	—	—	—	—	—
Methotrexate	—	—	—	Substrate	—	BCRP, OAT substrate
Pemetrexed	—	—	—	—	—	OAT substrate
Pralatrexate	—	—	—	—	—	BCRP, OAT substrate
<b>Aromatase inhibitors</b>						
Anastrozole	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	—	—	—
Exemestane	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	—	—	—
Letrozole	3A4, 2A6	2C19, 2A6	—	—	—	—
<b>BRAF/MEK inhibitors</b>						
Dabrafenib	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2C8 <sup>a</sup>	—	3A4, 2C9	Substrate	—	BCRP substrate, inhibitor; OAT inhibitor
Trametinib	—	2C8	3A4	—	—	—
<b>CDK inhibitors</b>						
Abemaciclib	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	Substrate	—	BCRP substrate; BCRP, OCT, MATE inhibitor
Palbociclib	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	3A4	—	—	—	—
Ribociclib	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	3A4	—	—	—	—
<b>EGFR inhibitors</b>						
Cetuximab	—	—	—	—	—	—
Panitumumab	—	—	—	—	—	—

(continued)

Table 2. (continued)

	CYP Substrate	CYP Inhibitor	CYP Inducer	P-glycoprotein	UGT1A1	Other Transporters
Histone deacetylase inhibitors						
Romidepsin	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	Substrate	—	OAT inhibitor
Belinostat	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2C9, 2A6	2C8, 2C9	—	Substrate	Substrate	—
Immunomodulators						
Lenalidomide	—	—	—	Substrate	—	—
Pomalidomide	3A4, 1A2 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	Substrate	—	—
Thalidomide	—	—	—	—	—	—
Immunotherapy						
Atezolizumab, durvalumab, ipilimumab, nivolumab, pembrolizumab	—	—	—	—	—	—
LHRH agonists						
Goserelin, histrelin, leuprolide, triptorelin	—	—	—	—	—	—
LHRH antagonists						
Degarelix	—	—	—	—	—	—
Monoclonal antibodies						
Brentuximab, obinutuzumab, rituximab	—	—	—	—	—	—
mTOR inhibitors						
Everolimus	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	Substrate	—	—
Temsirolimus	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	Substrate	—	—
PARP inhibitors						
Olaparib	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	Substrate	—	—
PI3K inhibitors						
Copanlisib	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	Substrate	—	BCRP substrate
Idelalisib	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	Substrate	—	—
Platinum compounds						
Carboplatin	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cisplatin	—	—	—	—	—	OCT, MATE substrate
Oxaliplatin	—	—	—	—	—	OCT, MATE substrate
Steroids						
Methylprednisolone, prednisone, dexamethasone	3A4	—	—	—	—	—
Taxanes						
Cabazitaxel	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2C8	—	—	—	—	—
Docetaxel	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	Substrate	—	OAT substrate
Paclitaxel	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2C8 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	Substrate	—	OCT, OAT substrate
Topoisomerase inhibitors						
Etoposide	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 1A2, 2E1	—	—	Substrate	Substrate	—
Irinotecan	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	Substrate	Substrate	BCRP, OCT, OAT substrate
Tyrosine kinase inhibitors						
Acalabrutinib	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	Substrate	—	BCRP substrate
Afatinib	—	—	—	Substrate	—	BCRP substrate, inhibitor
Axitinib	3A4/5, <sup>a</sup> 2C19, 1A2	1A2, 2C8	—	Inhibitor	Substrate	—
Cabozantinib	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2C9	2C8	1A1	Inhibitor	—	MRP2 substrate
Erlotinib	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 1A2	—	—	—	Inhibitor	—
Gefitinib	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2D6 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	Substrate	—	BCRP substrate, inhibitor
Ibrutinib	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2D6	—	—	Inhibitor	—	BCRP inhibitor

(continued)



**Table 2. (continued)**

	CYP Substrate	CYP Inhibitor	CYP Inducer	P-glycoprotein	UGT1A1	Other Transporters
Imatinib	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2D6, 2C9	3A4, 2D6	—	—	—	BCRP inhibitor
Lapatinib	3A4/5, <sup>a</sup> 2C8, 2C19	3A4, 2C8	—	Substrate, inhibitor	—	BCRP, OATP inhibitor
Lenvatinib	3A4	3A4, 2C8, 2D6	3A4	Substrate	Inhibitor	BCRP substrate, OAT, OATP inhibitor
Osimertinib	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	3A4, 1A2	Substrate	—	BCRP substrate, inhibitor
Pazopanib	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2C8, 1A2	3A4, 2C8, 2D6	—	Substrate	Inhibitor	BCRP substrate, OATP inhibitor
Regorafenib	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	3A4, 2C8, 2D6	—	—	Inhibitor	BCRP inhibitor
Sorafenib	3A4	3A4, 2C8, 2D6	—	Inhibitor	Inhibitor	BCRP inhibitor
Sunitinib	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	—	—	—
VEGF inhibitors						
Bevacizumab, ziv-aflibercept	—	—	—	—	—	—
Vinca alkaloids						
Vinblastine	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2D6	—	3A4	Substrate	—	OAT substrate
Vincristine	3A4 <sup>a</sup>	—	—	Substrate	—	OAT, OCT substrate
Vinorelbine	3A4, <sup>a</sup> 2D6	—	—	—	—	—
Miscellaneous						
Denileukin diftitox	—	—	—	—	—	—
Eribulin	3A4	—	—	—	—	—
Fulvestrant	3A4	—	—	—	—	—
L-Asparaginase	—	—	—	—	—	—
Leucovorin	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mitomycin	—	—	—	Substrate	—	—
Mogamulizumab-kpkc	—	—	—	—	—	—

Abbreviations: ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; BCRP, breast cancer resistance protein; BRAF/MEK, B-Raf/mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase; CDK, cyclin-dependent kinase; CYP, cytochrome; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; LHRH, luteinizing-hormone-releasing hormone; MATE, multidrug and toxin extrusion protein; MRP, multidrug resistance-associated protein; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; OAT, organic anionic transporter; OATP, organic anion-transporting polypeptide; OCT, organic cation transporter; PARP, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; UGT1A1, uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase 1A1; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

<sup>a</sup>Major/strong.

childbearing potential.<sup>10</sup> If ART is started soon after entry into care, repeating baseline laboratory studies is not needed.<sup>10</sup> Once ART is initiated (or changed), within 2 to 8 weeks, basic metabolic panel and liver function tests should be assessed. These should be repeated every 3 to 6 months as well. Fasting glucose (or A1C) and lipid profile should be performed annually if a normal baseline is established.<sup>10</sup>

CD4 count should be assessed prior to and 3 months after initiating ART.<sup>10</sup> During the first 2 years of ART, CD4 counts should be monitored every 3 to 6 months and then extended to every 6 months in patients who have demonstrated virological suppression for at least 2 years.<sup>10</sup> Observed decreases in CD4 counts may represent adverse effects of antineoplastics or the cancer itself and not necessarily poor virological control.<sup>10</sup> However, continued CD4 count monitoring is important for predicting risk for OIs.

In an ART-naïve patient, HIV RNA should be assessed prior to start of treatment and then within 2 to 4 weeks of starting ART, continuing to monitor every 4 to 8 weeks until the viral load is suppressed.<sup>10</sup> During the first 2 years of ART, HIV RNA should be assessed every 3 to 4 months, and if a patient achieves virological suppression for at least 2 years, HIV RNA assessment can be extended to once every 6 months. Following the start of induction chemotherapy, HIV RNA should be assessed once monthly in the first 3 months and then every 3 months to assess any effect the antineoplastics may have on potential reduction in ART concentrations because of DDIs.<sup>10,37</sup> Since HIV RNA monitoring is a more precise reflection of virological efficacy of an ART regimen than CD4 count, it is a crucial way to assess a regimen's effectiveness, potential DDIs, and potential nonadherence.

**Table 3. Drug Interactions With Antiretroviral Therapy and Selected Injectable Chemotherapy Agents.**<sup>a,1,5,16,37,46-60</sup>

Antiretrovirals						
Chemotherapy Drug Class/Name	NRTIs: 3TC, ABC, FTC, TDF, TAF	NNRTIs: EFV, RPV, DOR	PIs: DRV/c, DRV/r, ATV/c, ATV/r	INSTIs: BIC, DTG, EVG/c, RAL	Clinical Comments/Recommendations	
Alkylating agents						
Cyclophosphamide (CP)	Ø	EFV: • Potential ↑ or ↓ [CP]	• Potential ↑ [CP]	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [CP]	• EFV: monitor CP efficacy, toxicity	
Ifosfamide (IFO)	TAF, TDF: • Possible additive nephrotoxicity	• Potential ↑ or ↓ [NNRTIs] and ↓ [IFO] with EFV	• Potential ↑ [IFO]	BIC: • Potential ↑ or ↓ [BIC] EVG/c: • potential ↑ [IFO]	• PI/c or PI/r, EVG/c: monitor CP AEs • TDF, TAF: monitor renal function • BIC, NNRTIs: monitor BIC, NNRTI efficacy, safety • Boosted PIs, EVG/c: monitor IFO AEs • EFV: monitor IFO efficacy	
Bendamustine	Ø	Ø	• Potential ↑ [bendamustine]	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [bendamustine]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: monitor bendamustine safety	
Anthracyclines						
Doxorubicin	Ø	RPV: • Possible cardiotoxicity	ATV/r, ATV/c: • Possible cardiotoxicity	Ø	RPV, ATV/c, ATV/r: use with caution; monitor ECG	
Epirubicin	Ø	EFV: • Potential ↑ [epirubicin] RPV: • Possible cardiotoxicity	• Potential ↓ [epirubicin], cardiotoxicity with ATV	Ø	• Boosted PIs: monitor efficacy of epirubicin • ATV/r, ATV/c, RPV: monitor QTc • EFV: monitor epirubicin AEs	
Antimetabolites						
5-Fluorouracil (5-FU)	3TC, ABC, FTC, TDF: • Possible ↑ [5-FU]	Ø	Ø	Ø	• 3TC, ABC, FTC, TDF: monitor 5-FU AEs • TAF: interaction unlikely to be relevant because of low systemic levels of tenofovir	
Cytarabine	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Interactions not expected	
Gemcitabine	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Interactions not expected	
Methotrexate	TDF: ⊗ Possible nephrotoxicity	Ø	Ø	Ø	TDF: If coadministration is unavoidable, monitor renal function	
Penicillins						
Pemetrexed	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Interactions not expected	
Platins	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Interactions not expected	
Histone deacetylase inhibitors						
Romidepsin	Ø	EFV: • Potential ↓ [romidepsin] RPV: • Possible cardiotoxicity EFV: • Potential ↑ or ↓ [belinostat]	• Potential ↑ [romidepsin] ATV/r, ATV/c: • Possible cardiotoxicity	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [romidepsin]	• ATV/r, ATV/c, RPV: monitor QTc • Boosted PIs, EVG/c: monitor romidepsin AEs • EFV: monitor romidepsin efficacy	
Belinostat	Ø	Ø	• Potential ↑ or ↓ [belinostat]	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ or ↓ [belinostat]	• Boosted PIs, EFV, EVG/c: monitor belinostat AEs and efficacy	
Immunotherapy						
Atezolizumab, durvalumab, ipilimumab, nivolumab, pembrolizumab	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Interactions not expected	
LHRH agonists						
Goserelin, histrelin, leuprolide, triptorelin	Ø	RPV: • Possible cardiotoxicity	ATV/r, ATV/c: • Possible cardiotoxicity	Ø	ATV/r, ATV/c, RPV: monitor QTc	

(continued)

**Table 3. (continued)**

Chemotherapy Drug Class/Name	Antiretrovirals				Clinical Comments/Recommendations
	NRTIs: 3TC, ABC, FTC, TDF, TAF	NNRTIs: EFV, RPV, DOR	Pis: DRV/c, DRV/r, ATV/c, ATV/r	INSTIs: BIC, DTG, EVG/c, RAL	
LHRH antagonists					
Degarelix	Ø	RPV: • Possible cardiotoxicity	ATV/r, ATV/c: • Possible cardiotoxicity	Ø	ATV/r, ATV/c, RPV: monitor QTc
Monoclonal antibodies					
Brentuximab, obinutuzumab, rituximab, cetuximab, panitumumab, trastuzumab, pertuzumab	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Interactions not expected
mTOR inhibitors					
Temsirolimus	Ø	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [temsirolimus] and ↑ [metabolite (sirolimus)] RPV: • Potential ↑ [RPV]	⊗ Potential ↑ [temsirolimus]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [temsirolimus]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Boosted PIs, EVG/c, EFV: avoid coadministration</li><li>• RPV: monitor RPV-related AEs</li></ul>
PI3K inhibitors					
Copanlisib	Ø	EFV: • Potential ↓ [copanlisib]	⊗ Potential ↑ [copanlisib]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [copanlisib]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: if coadministration is unavoidable, ↓ copanlisib to 45 mg; monitor copanlisib AEs</li><li>• EFV: monitor copanlisib efficacy</li></ul>
Platinum compounds					
Carboplatin	TDF: • Possible nephrotoxicity	Ø	Ø	Ø	TDF: monitor renal function
Cisplatin	TDF: • Possible nephrotoxicity 3TC, FTC: • Potential ↑ [cisplatin]	Ø	• Potential ↑ [cisplatin]	BIC, EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [cisplatin]	Boosted PIs, BIC, EVG/c, TDF, 3TC, FTC: monitor renal function
Oxaliplatin	TDF: • Possible nephrotoxicity	Ø	Ø	BIC, DTG: ⊗ Possible ↓ [oxaliplatin] in tumor cells	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• BIC, DTG: avoid coadministration</li><li>• TDF: monitor renal function</li></ul>
Steroids					
Methylprednisolone, dexamethasone	Ø	EFV: • Potential ↓ [methylprednisolone] Potential ↓ [EFV with dexamethasone]	• Potential ↑ [methylprednisolone]	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [methylprednisolone]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: use lowest possible steroid dose, monitor steroid AEs</li><li>• EFV: monitor efficacy of steroid and adjust dose if needed. Monitor virological response with dexamethasone</li></ul>
Taxanes					
Cabazitaxel	Ø	EFV: • potential ↓ [cabazitaxel]	⊗ Potential ↑ [cabazitaxel]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [cabazitaxel]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• EFV: monitor cabazitaxel efficacy</li><li>• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: if coadministration is unavoidable, ↓ cabazitaxel dose by 25%. Monitor cabazitaxel AEs</li></ul>

(continued)

Table 3. (continued)

Chemotherapy Drug Class/Name	Antiretrovirals				Clinical Comments/Recommendations
	NRTIs: 3TC, ABC, FTC, TDF, TAF	NNRTIs: EFV, RPV, DOR	PIs: DRV/c, DRV/r, ATV/c, ATV/r	INSTIs: BIC, DTG, EVG/c, RAL	
Docetaxel	Ø	EFV: • Potential ↓ [docetaxel] RPV: • Potential ↑ [docetaxel]	⊗ Potential ↑ [docetaxel]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [docetaxel]	• EFV: monitor docetaxel efficacy • RPV: monitor for docetaxel AEs • Boosted PIs, EVG/c: if coadministration is unavoidable, ↓ docetaxel dose by 50%. Monitor docetaxel AEs
Paclitaxel	Ø	EFV: • Potential ↑ [paclitaxel] RPV: • Potential ↓ [RPV]	• Potential ↑ [paclitaxel]	BIC, DTG, RAL: • Potential ↓ [BIC, DTG, RAL] EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [paclitaxel]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c, EFV: monitor paclitaxel AEs • BIC, DTG, RAL, RPV: monitor response to ARVs
Topoisomerase inhibitors					
Etoposide	Ø	EFV: • Potential ↓ [etoposide] EFV: • Potential ↓ [irinotecan]	• Potential ↑ [etoposide] • Potential ↑ [irinotecan]	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [docetaxel] EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [irinotecan]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: monitor etoposide AEs • EFV: monitor etoposide efficacy • Boosted PIs, EVG/c: monitor irinotecan AEs • EFV: monitor irinotecan efficacy
VEGF inhibitor					
Bevacizumab	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Interactions not expected
Ziv-Aflibercept	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Interactions not expected
Vinca alkaloids					
Vinblastine, vincristine, vinorelbine	Ø	EFV: • Potential ↓ [vinca alkaloids] DOR: ⊗ Potential ↓ [DOR] with vinblastine	⊗ Potential ↑ [vinca alkaloids]	BIC, DTG, RAL: • Potential ↓ [BIC, DTG, RAL] EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [vinca alkaloids]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: if coadministration is unavoidable, monitor vinca alkaloid AEs • EFV: monitor vinca alkaloids efficacy • BIC, DTG, RAL, RPV: monitor ARV response • DOR: monitor ARV efficacy. Best to initiate DOR ≥4 weeks after cessation of vinblastine
Miscellaneous					
Denileukin difitox	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Interactions not expected
Eribulin	Ø	RPV: ⊗ Possible cardiotoxicity EFV: • Potential ↓ [eribulin] EFV: • Potential ↓ [fulvestrant]	• Potential ↑ [eribulin], ATV/r, ATV/c: ⊗ Possible cardiotoxicity • Potential ↑ [fulvestrant]	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [eribulin] EVG/c Potential ↑ [fulvestrant] Ø Ø Ø Ø Potential ↑ [mitomycin] Ø	• ATV/r, ATV/c, RPV: if coadministration is unavoidable, monitor QTc • Boosted PIs, EVG/c: monitor eribulin AEs • EFV: Monitor eribulin efficacy • Boosted PIs, EVG/c: monitor fulvestrant AEs • EFV: monitor fulvestrant efficacy Interactions not expected Interactions not expected Boosted PIs, EVG/c: monitor mitomycin AEs Interactions not expected
Fulvestrant	Ø				
L-Asparaginase	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	
Leucovorin	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	
Mitomycin	Ø	Ø	• Potential ↑ [mitomycin]	Ø	
Mogamulizumab-kpkc	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Interactions not expected

Abbreviations: 3TC, lamivudine; ABC, abacavir; AEs, adverse events/effects; ARV, antiretroviral; ATV, atazanavir; BIC, bictegravir; c, cobicistat boosting; DOR, doravirine; DRV, darunavir; DTG, dolutegravir; ECG, echocardiogram; EFV, efavirenz; EVG, elvitegravir; FTC, emtricitabine; INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor; LHRH, luteinizing-hormone-releasing hormone; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; NNRTI, nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NRTI, nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; PI, protease inhibitor; P3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; r, ritonavir boosting; RAL, raltegravir; RPV, rilpivirine; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide fumarate; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.

\*No interaction expected; Ø, Potential interaction; monitoring required; •, Avoid coadministration if possible; ⊗.

**Table 4.** Drug Interactions With Antiretroviral Therapy and Selected Oral Chemotherapy Agents and Endocrine Therapies.<sup>a,15,16,37,46-60</sup>

Chemotherapy Drug Class/Name	Antiretrovirals				Clinical Comments/Recommendations
	NRTIs: 3TC, ABC, FTC, TDF, TAF	NNRTIs: EFV, RPV, DOR	PIs: DRV/c, DRV/r, ATV/c, ATV/r	INSTIs: BIC, DTG, EVG/c, RAL	
ALK inhibitors					
Alectinib	Ø	EFV: • Potential ↓ [alectinib]	• Potential ↑ [alectinib]	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [alectinib]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: monitor alectinib AEs • EFV: monitor alectinib efficacy
Brigatinib	Ø	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [brigatinib]	⊗ Potential ↑ [brigatinib]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [brigatinib]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: if coadministration is unavoidable, ↓ brigatinib dose by 50%. Monitor brigatinib AEs • EFV: if coadministration is unavoidable, monitor brigatinib efficacy
Ceritinib	Ø	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [ceritinib]	⊗ Potential ↑ [ceritinib]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [ceritinib]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: If coadministration is unavoidable, ↓ ceritinib dose by ~33% (to nearest 150 mg); monitor ceritinib AEs • EFV: If coadministration is unavoidable, monitor ceritinib efficacy
Crizotinib	Ø	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [crizotinib] DOR, RPV: • Potential ↑ [DOR, RPV]	⊗ Potential ↑ [crizotinib]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [crizotinib]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: if coadministration is unavoidable, ↓ crizotinib dose to 250 mg daily; monitor crizotinib AEs • EFV: if coadministration is unavoidable, monitor crizotinib efficacy • DOR, RPV: monitor DOR, RPV-related AEs
Alkylating agents					
Chlorambucil	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Interactions not expected
Androgen metabolism inhibitor					
Abiraterone	Ø	EFV: • Potential ↓ [abiraterone]	• Potential ↑ [abiraterone]	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [abiraterone]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: monitor abiraterone AEs • EFV: monitor abiraterone efficacy
Antiandrogen, first generation					
Bicalutamide	Ø	DOR, RPV: • Potential ↑ [DOR, RPV]	Ø	Ø	• DOR, RPV: monitor DOR and RPV-related AEs
Flutamide	Ø	EFV: • Potential ↓ [flutamide]	• Potential ↑ [flutamide]	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [flutamide]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: monitor flutamide AEs • EFV: monitor flutamide efficacy
Nilutamide	Ø	EFV: • Potential ↑ [nilutamide]	• Potential ↓ [nilutamide]	Ø	EFV, DNV/r, ATV/r: monitor nilutamide efficacy

(continued)

Table 4. (continued)

Chemotherapy Drug Class/Name	Antiretrovirals				Clinical Comments/Recommendations
	NRTIs: 3TC, ABC, FTC, TDF, TAF	NNRTIs: EFV, RPV, DOR	PIs: DRV/c, DRV/r, ATV/c, ATV/r	INSTIs: BIC, DTG, EVG/c, RAL	
Antandrogen, second generation Apalutamide	TDF, TAF: • Potential ↓ [TDF, TAF]	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↑ or ↓ [apalutamide] and/or ↓ [EFV] DOR, RPV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [DOR, RPV] EFV: ⊗ Potential ↑ or ↓ [enzalutamide]	⊗ Potential ↑ [apalutamide] and/or ↓ [PIs] ⊗ Potential ↑ [enzalutamide] and/or ↓ [PIs]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [apalutamide] and/or ↓ [EVG] BIC: ⊗ Potential ↓ [BIC] EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [apalutamide] and/or ↓ [EVG] BIC: ⊗ Potential ↓ [BIC]	Boosted PIs, EVG/c, BIC, RPV, EFV, DOR, TDF, TAF: avoid coadministration if possible  Boosted PIs, EVG/c, BIC, RPV, DOR, EFV: avoid coadministration if possible
Enzalutamide	∅				
Antiestrogens Tamoxifen	∅	EFV: • Potential ↓ [tamoxifen, endoxifen] DOR, RPV: • Potential ↓ [DOR, RPV] EFV: • Potential ↓ [toremifene] RPV: • Possible cardiotoxicity	• Potential ↓ [endoxifen] • Potential ↑ [toremifene]	EVG/C: Potential ↓ [endoxifen] BIC: • Potential ↓ [BIC] EVG/C: • Potential ↑ [toremifene]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c, EFV: monitor tamoxifen efficacy • BIC, RPV, DOR: monitor ARV efficacy; best to initiate DOR ≥4 weeks after cessation of tamoxifen • Boosted PIs, EVG/c, RPV: monitor QTc • EFV: monitor toremifene efficacy
Toremifene	∅				
Antimetabolites Capecitabine	3TC, FTC, TAF, TDF: • Possible ↑ [5-FU] metabolite	∅	∅	∅	3TC, FTC, TAF, TDF: monitor capecitabine AEs
Aromatase inhibitors Anastrozole, exemestane, letrozole	∅	EFV: • Potential ↓ [aromatase inhibitors]	• Potential ↑ [aromatase inhibitors]	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [aromatase inhibitors]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: monitor aromatase inhibitors AEs • EFV: monitor aromatase inhibitors efficacy

(continued)

Table 4. (continued)

Chemotherapy Drug Class/Name	Antiretrovirals				Clinical Comments/Recommendations
	NRTIs: 3TC, ABC, FTC, TDF, TAF	NNRTIs: EFV, RPV, DOR	PIs: DRV/c, DRV/r, ATV/c, ATV/r	INSTIs: BIC, DTG, EVG/c, RAL	
BRAF/MEK inhibitors					
Dabrafenib	∅	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [EFV] and/or ↑ [dabrafenib] DOR, RPV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [DOR, RPV]	⊗ Potential ↑ [dabrafenib]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [dabrafenib] BIC: ⊗ Potential ↓ [BIC]	Boosted PIs, EVG/c, EFV, DOR, BIC: avoid coadministration if possible
Trametinib	∅	∅	∅	∅	Interactions not expected
CDK inhibitors					
Abemaciclib	∅	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [abemaciclib]	⊗ Potential ↑ [abemaciclib]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [abemaciclib]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: if coadministration is unavoidable, ↓ abemaciclib dose (↓ 150 or 200 mg bid to 100 mg bid; ↓ 100 mg bid to 50 mg bid)</li><li>• EFV: avoid coadministration</li><li>• Boosted PIs, EVG/c, EFV: avoid coadministration</li><li>• BIC, DOR, RPV: monitor ARV-related AEs</li></ul>
Palbociclib	∅	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [palbociclib] DOR, RPV: • Potential ↑ [DOR, RPV]	⊗ Potential ↑ [palbociclib]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [palbociclib] BIC: • Potential ↑ [BIC]	
Ribociclib	∅	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [ribociclib] DOR, RPV: • Potential ↑ [DOR, RPV]	⊗ Potential ↑ [ribociclib]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [ribociclib] BIC: • Potential ↑ [BIC]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: if coadministration is unavoidable, ↓ ribociclib to 400 mg once daily</li><li>• EFV: avoid combination</li><li>• BIC, DOR, RPV: monitor ARV-related AEs, QTc interval</li></ul>
Immunomodulators					
Lenalidomide, pomalidomide, thalidomide	∅	∅	∅	∅	Interactions not expected
mTOR inhibitor					
Everolimus	∅	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [everolimus]	⊗ Potential ↑ [everolimus]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [everolimus]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: avoid combination</li><li>• EFV: if coadministration is unavoidable, consider ↑ everolimus from 10 mg daily up to 20 mg daily, using 5-mg increments starting on day 4 and 8 following the start of EFV. Monitor everolimus concentrations when clinically indicated</li></ul>

(continued)

Table 4. (continued)

Chemotherapy Drug Class/Name	Antiretrovirals				Clinical Comments/Recommendations
	NRTIs: 3TC, ABC, FTC, TDF, TAF	NNRTIs: EFV, RPV, DOR	PIs: DRV/c, DRV/r, ATV/c, ATV/r	INSTIs: BIC, DTG, EVG/c, RAL	
PARP inhibitors					
Olaparib	∅	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [olaparib]	⊗ Potential ↑ [olaparib]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [olaparib]	Boosted PIs, EVG/c: if coadministration is unavoidable, consider ↓ olaparib to 100 mg bid
PI3K inhibitors					
Idelalisib		EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [idelalisib] DOR, RPV: ⊗ Potential ↑ [DOR, RPV]	• Potential ↑ [idelalisib]	• Potential ↑ [idelalisib] BIC: ⊗ Potential ↑ [BIC]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: monitor idelalisib-associated AEs • BIC, DOR, EFV, RPV: avoid combination
Steroids					
Prednisone, dexamethasone	∅	EFV: • Potential ↓ metabolite [prednisolone] Potential ↓ [EFV with dexamethasone]	• Potential ↑ metabolite [prednisolone]	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ metabolite [prednisolone]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: monitor steroid toxicity • EFV: monitor steroid efficacy. Monitor virological response with dexamethasone
Topoisomerase inhibitors					
Etoposide	∅	EFV: • Potential ↓ [etoposide]	• Potential ↑ [etoposide]	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [etoposide]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: monitor etoposide AEs • EFV: monitor etoposide efficacy
Tyrosine kinase inhibitors					
Acalabrutinib	∅	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [acalabrutinib]	⊗ Potential ↑ [acalabrutinib]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [acalabrutinib]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: avoid combination • EFV: if coadministration is unavoidable, consider ↑acalabrutinib to 200 mg bid
Afatinib	∅	∅ Potential ↑ [afatinib]	⊗ Potential ↑ [afatinib]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [afatinib]	Boosted PIs, EVG/c: if coadministration is unavoidable, consider ↓ afatinib by 10 mg and give the boosted PI or EVG/c simultaneously or after the afatinib
Axitinib	∅	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [axitinib]	⊗ Potential ↑ [axitinib]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [axitinib]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: if coadministration is unavoidable, consider ↓ axitinib dose by 50% • EFV: avoid combination
Cabozantinib	∅	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [cabozantinib]	⊗ Potential ↑ [cabozantinib]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [cabozantinib]	• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: if coadministration is unavoidable, ↓ cabozantinib dose based on the indication • EFV: if coadministration is unavoidable, ↑ cabozantinib dose based on the indication

(continued)



**Table 4. (continued)**

Chemotherapy Drug Class/Name	Antiretrovirals					Clinical Comments/Recommendations
	NRTIs: 3TC, ABC, FTC, TDF, TAF	NNRTIs: EFV, RPV, DOR	PIs: DRV/c, DRV/r, ATV/c, ATV/r	INSTIs: BIC, DTG, EVG/c, RAL		
Erlotinib	Ø	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [erlotinib]	⊗ Potential ↑ [erlotinib]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [erlotinib]		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: if coadministration is unavoidable, monitor for severe AEs, and if AEs occur, reduce the dose of erlotinib by 50-mg increments</li> <li>• EFV: if coadministration is unavoidable, consider ↑ erlotinib dose by 50 mg increments every 2 weeks as tolerated to a maximum of 450 mg/d</li> </ul>
Gefitinib	Ø	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [gefitinib]	• Potential ↑ [gefitinib]	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [gefitinib]		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: monitor gefitinib-related AEs</li> <li>• EFV: avoid combination</li> </ul>
Ibrutinib	Ø	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [ibrutinib]	• Potential ↑ [ibrutinib]	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [ibrutinib]		Boosted PIs, EVG/c, EFV: avoid combination
Imatinib	Ø	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [imatinib], ↑ [EFV] DOR, RPV: • Potential ↑ [DOR, RPV]	• Potential ↑ [imatinib]	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [imatinib]		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Boosted PIs, EVG/c, EFV: monitor imatinib AEs</li> <li>• DOR, RPV: monitor RPV- and DOR-related AEs</li> <li>• EFV: avoid combination</li> </ul>
Lapatinib	Ø	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [lapatinib] RPV: • Potential ↑ [RPV], cardiotoxicity	⊗ Potential ↑ [lapatinib] and cardiotoxicity	⊗ Potential ↑ [lapatinib] and cardiotoxicity		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: avoid combination EFV: if coadministration is unavoidable, consider gradually increasing lapatinib dose from 1250 mg/d up to 4500 mg/d (HER2+ metastatic breast cancer) or from 1500 mg/d up to 5500 mg/d (HR/HER2+ breast cancer), as tolerated</li> <li>• RPV: monitor QTc</li> </ul>
Lenvatinib	Ø	RPV: • Possible cardiotoxicity	• Possible ↑ [lenvatinib], cardiotoxicity	EVG/c: • Possible ↑ [lenvatinib], cardiotoxicity		Boosted PIs, EVG/c, RPV: monitor QTc
Osimertinib	Ø	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [osimertinib] RPV: • Possible cardiotoxicity	• Possible ↑ [osimertinib], cardiotoxicity	EVG/c: • Possible ↑ [osimertinib], cardiotoxicity		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Boosted PIs, EVG/c, RPV: monitor QTc</li> <li>• EFV: avoid combination</li> </ul>

(continued)

Table 4. (continued)

Chemotherapy Drug Class/Name	Antiretrovirals				Clinical Comments/Recommendations
	NRTIs: 3TC, ABC, FTC, TDF, TAF	NNRTIs: EFV, RPV, DOR	PIs: DRV/c, DRV/r, ATV/c, ATV/r	INSTIs: BIC, DTG, EVG/c, RAL	
Pazopanib	Ø	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [pazopanib] RPV: • Potential ↑ [RPV], cardiotoxicity	⊗ Potential ↑ [pazopanib] and cardiotoxicity	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [pazopanib] and cardiotoxicity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: if coadministration is unavoidable, consider ↓ pazopanib to 400 mg daily, with further dose reductions to be considered if AEs are observed. Monitor QTc. Consider monitoring pazopanib plasma concentrations if available</li><li>• EFV: avoid combination</li><li>• RPV: monitor QTc</li></ul> Boosted PIs, EVG/c, EFV: avoid combination
Regorafenib	Ø	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [regorafenib]	⊗ Potential ↑ [regorafenib]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [regorafenib]	
Sorafenib	Ø	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [sorafenib] RPV: • Possible cardiotoxicity	• Potential ↑ [sorafenib]	EVG/c: • Potential ↑ [sorafenib]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Boosted PIs, EVG/c, RPV: monitor sorafenib AEs, especially QTc</li><li>• EFV: avoid combination</li></ul>
Sunitinib	Ø	EFV: ⊗ Potential ↓ [sunitinib] RPV: • Possible cardiotoxicity	⊗ Potential ↑ [sunitinib]	EVG/c: ⊗ Potential ↑ [sunitinib]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Boosted PIs, EVG/c: if coadministration is unavoidable, consider ↓ dose of sunitinib to a minimum of 37.5 mg (GIST, RCC) or 25 mg (pNET)</li><li>• EFV: if coadministration is unavoidable, consider ↑ sunitinib to a maximum of 87.5 mg (GIST, RCC) or 62.5 mg (pNET)</li><li>• RPV: monitor QTc</li></ul>

Abbreviations: 3TC, lamivudine; 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; ABC, abacavir; AEs, adverse events/effects; ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; ARV, antiretroviral; ATV, atazanavir; BIC, bictegravir; BRAF/MEK, B-Raf/mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase; c, cobicistat boosting; CDK, cyclin-dependent kinase; DNV, darunavir; DRV, doravirine; DOR, doravirine; DTG, dolutegravir; EFV, efavirenz; EVG, elvitegravir; FTC, entricitabine; GIST, gastrointestinal stromal tumor; HR/HER2, hormone receptor/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; NNRTI, nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NR1, nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; PARP, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase; PI, protease inhibitor; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; pNET, primitive neuroectodermal tumor; r, ritonavir boosting; RAL, raltegravir; RCC, renal cell carcinoma; RPV, rilpivirine; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide fumarate; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.

<sup>a</sup>No interaction expected: Ø. Potential interaction; monitoring required: •. Avoid coadministration if possible: ⊗.

In addition to the above laboratory monitoring, a patient's ART regimen should be reviewed to determine if any additional monitoring is needed. For example, serum phosphorous should be checked at baseline and every 3 to 6 months in patients with chronic kidney disease who are taking regimens that contain TAF or TDF. Urine glucose and protein testing should be performed before and every 6 months during treatment with TAF and TDF.<sup>15</sup> Reference information for drug toxicities and special considerations are available for each drug class of ART.<sup>15</sup> Monitoring patients' medication regimens for DDIs is crucial for minimizing toxicities and maintaining virological suppression.

### **Opportunistic Infections**

OIs occur more frequently in PLWH as a result of the immunosuppression that can occur in the course of HIV disease. However, because of the improved virological control that has resulted from durable, suppressive ART, OI-related morbidity and mortality have been reduced substantially.<sup>15</sup> This reemphasizes the need for timely initiation and monitoring of ART to achieve virological suppression in an effort to also reduce the incidence of OIs.

When considering antimicrobial prophylaxis for PLWH and cancer, an individual's OI risk can be assessed via CD4 count thresholds and serological testing and history of exposure to OIs.<sup>61</sup> The use of ART, achievement of virological suppression, and duration of its use are considered. Additionally, overall infection risk in patients with cancer can be graded as low, intermediate, or high, factoring in malignancies that cause immunosuppression, duration of neutropenia, history of exposure to antineoplastics, and the intensity of immunosuppressive therapies used.<sup>62</sup> It is also helpful to consider DDIs between agents used for OI prophylaxis and ART and antineoplastics. Thus, a multidisciplinary approach involving the oncologist, infectious diseases, and clinical pharmacists is beneficial in balancing all these considerations. In a given patient, OI prophylaxis can be prescribed based on CD4 count and HIV status. Once cancer chemotherapy is prescribed, additional agents for OI prevention are added accordingly.<sup>37</sup> Agents used for OI prophylaxis may require adjustment if CD4 counts decline during chemotherapy, if they increase following completion of treatment, or if they augment toxicities of antineoplastics, such as myelosuppression. These recommendations also advise collaboration among providers to permit modifications and adjustments.<sup>37</sup>

### **Management of Malignancy in PLWH**

As previously described, the pharmacist caring for PLWH and malignancies must critically review potential interactions resulting from the overlapping of medications. A desired plan will minimize or avoid PK interactions and

optimize timing of medications for both conditions. In addition to the therapies for malignancy, drug interactions should be screened between ART and medications used for supportive care. Antiemetics, opioids, and antibiotics are commonly used for symptom prevention and management. One area of concern is the potential for cardiotoxicity with QT-interval prolongation from the combination of some oral antineoplastics, serotonin antagonist antiemetics, and PIs. Additional aspects related to management of malignancies include radiation and surgery.

Radiotherapy in combination with other modalities is part of treating many cancers. It can be used in curative and palliative settings. Studies of the efficacy and tolerability of various radiotherapy approaches with or without antineoplastics in PLWH were evaluated in a review of 44 studies, with most in anal cancer. The authors concluded that for the majority of patients, clinical outcomes were similar to those in patients without HIV.<sup>63</sup> Another review of data from 21 studies, primarily in anal cancer, demonstrated similar tolerability of radiation therapy between PLWH and uninfected individuals.<sup>64</sup> However, data are more limited in other cancers and in combination with immunotherapies.<sup>10,65</sup> A 52-year-old man with NSCLC experienced pericardial effusion and interstitial pneumonia after 5 weeks of stereotactic body radiotherapy and 3 cycles of pembrolizumab. After the interruption of pembrolizumab, tumor growth progressed. It is not clear whether the stereotactic body radiotherapy and pembrolizumab interaction was the cause of these events.<sup>65</sup>

Surgery is a primary part of treatment for solid tumor malignancies such as lung, anal, prostate, liver, and breast cancers. In the modern ART era, some experts believe that the overall health or performance status is a more reliable indicator of successful surgical outcomes than CD4 count or viral load in PLWH, and that HIV status should not affect choices about surgery.<sup>10</sup> However these recommendations are based on studies with small sample sizes, which are not necessarily representative of all types of surgeries involved in removal of malignancies. The 30-day postoperative mortality was compared in a retrospective analysis of PLWH and uninfected individuals undergoing a variety of procedures. The population of PLWH included 202 individuals with cancer. In this cohort, overall mortality rates were low, but mortality in PLWH was increased compared with uninfected individuals at all CD4 levels. Age and hypoalbuminemia also affected surgical outcomes.<sup>66</sup>

### **Conclusion**

The availability of more effective ART has transformed AIDS into a chronic medical condition. PLWH are receiving more effective care and living longer lives. They are experiencing age-related comorbidities consistent with the general population, which include malignancies. Additional information

regarding management of DDIs in PLWH and malignancy is a need. Pharmacists play an important role in the multidisciplinary management of this patient population by helping assess DDIs and minimizing drug-related problems.

### Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The authors have no conflicts of interest to report, including consulting fees, paid expert testimony, employment, grants, honoraria, patents, royalties, stocks, or other financial/material gain that involves this article.

### Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### References

1. UNAIDS. UNAIDS data 2018. [http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media\\_asset/unaids-data-2018\\_en.pdf](http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/unaids-data-2018_en.pdf). Accessed December 19, 2018.
2. Antiretroviral Therapy Cohort Collaboration. Survival of HIV-positive patients starting antiretroviral therapy between 1996 and 2013: a collaborative analysis of cohort studies. *Lancet HIV*. 2017;4:e349-e356. doi:10.1016/S2352-3018(17)30066-8
3. Rubenstein PG, Aboulaia DM, Zloza A. Malignancies in HIV/AIDS: from epidemiology to therapeutic challenges. *AIDS*. 2014;28:453-465. doi:10.1097/QAD.0000000000000071
4. Shiels MS, Islam JY, Rosenberg PS, Hall HI, Jacobson E, Engels EA. Projected cancer incidence rates and burden of incident cancer cases in HIV-infected adults in the United States through 2030. *Ann Intern Med*. 2018;168:866-873. doi:10.7326/M17-2499
5. Chiu CG, Smith D, Salters KA, et al. Overview of cancer incidence and mortality among people living with HIV/AIDS in British Columbia, Canada: implications for ART use and NADM development. *BMC Cancer*. 2017;17:270. doi:10.1186/s12885-017-3229-1
6. Yanik EL, Napravnik S, Cole SR, et al. Incidence and timing of cancer in HIV-infected individuals following initiation of combination antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013;57:756-764. doi:10.1093/cid/cit369
7. Silverberg MJ, Lau B, Achenbach CJ, et al. Cumulative incidence of cancer among HIV-infected individuals in North America. *Ann Intern Med*. 2015;163:507-518. doi:10.7326/M14-2768
8. Althoff KN, McGinnis KA, Wyatt CM, et al. Comparison of risk and age at diagnosis of myocardial infarction, end-stage renal disease, and non-AIDS-defining cancer in HIV-infected versus uninfected adults. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;60:627-638. doi:10.1093/cid/ciu869
9. Robbins HA, Pfeiffer RM, Shiels MS, Li J, Hall HI, Engels EA. Excess cancers among HIV-infected people in the United States. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2015;107:dju503. doi:10.1093/jnci/dju503
10. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: cancer in people living with HIV. v.1.2019. [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/hiv.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/hiv.pdf). Accessed December 19, 2018.
11. IQVIA Institute for Human Data Science. Global oncology trends 2018: innovation, expansion and disruption. <https://www.iqvia.com/institute/reports/global-oncology-trends-2018>. Accessed February 5, 2019.
12. Ruiz MA. Making the case for an HIV oncology clinic. *Oncology Issues*. 2018;40-46.
13. US Food and Drug Administration. FDA approved drug products. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/daf/>. Accessed December 19, 2018
14. US Food and Drug Administration. Drug development and drug interactions: table of substrates, inhibitors, and inducers. <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/developmentapprovalprocess/developmentresources/druginteractionslabeling/ucm093664.htm>. Accessed December 19, 2018
15. US Department of Health and Human Services, Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in adults and adolescents living with HIV. <https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/adultandadolescentgl.pdf>. Accessed February 5, 2019.
16. University of Liverpool, HIV Drug Interactions. HIV drug interaction checker. <https://www.hiv-druginteractions.org/checker>. Accessed December 19, 2018.
17. Hernandez-Ramirez RU, Shiels MS, Dubrow R, Engels EA. Cancer risk in HIV-infected people in the USA from 1996 to 2012: a population-based, registry-linkage study. *Lancet HIV*. 2017;4:e495-e504. doi:10.1016/S2352-3018(17)30125-X
18. Patel P, Hanson DL, Sullivan PS, et al. Incidence of types of cancer among HIV-infected persons compared with the general population in the United States, 1992-2003. *Ann Intern Med*. 2008;148:728-736.
19. Wang YH, Shen XD. Human immunodeficiency virus infection and mortality risk among lung cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2018;97:e0361. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000000010361
20. Presti RM, Flores SC, Palmer BE, et al. Mechanisms underlying HIV-associated noninfectious lung disease. *Chest*. 2017;152:1053-1060. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2017.04.154
21. Domblides C, Antoine M, Hamard C, et al. Nonsmall cell lung cancer from HIV-infected patients expressed programmed cell death-ligand 1 with marked inflammatory infiltrates. *AIDS*. 2018;32:461-468. doi:10.1097/QAD.0000000000001713
22. Scilla KA, Zandberg DP, Bentzen SM, et al. Case-control study of PD-1, PD-L1 and B7-H3 expression in lung cancer patients with and without human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. *Lung Cancer*. 2018;123:87-90. doi:10.1016/j.lungcan.2018.06.028
23. Ostios-Garcia L, Faig J, Leonardi GC, et al. Safety and efficacy of PD-1 inhibitors among HIV-positive patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol*. 2018;13:1037-1042. doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2018.03.031
24. Chang E, Sabichi AL, Kramer JR, et al. Nivolumab treatment for cancers in the HIV-infected population. *J Immunother*. 2018;41:379-383. doi:10.1097/CJI.0000000000000240

25. Uldrick T, Goncalves PH, Fling SP, et al. Interim safety analysis of Cancer Immunotherapy Trials Network-12 (CITN-12): a phase 1 study of pembrolizumab in patients with HIV and relapsed, refractory or disseminated malignancies [abstract.]. Paper presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; March 4-7, 2018; Boston, MA.
26. Colón-López V, Shiels MS, Machin M, et al. Anal cancer risk among people with HIV infection in the United States. *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36:68-75. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.74.9291
27. Wilkin TJ, Chen H, Cespedes MS, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of the quadrivalent HPV vaccine in HIV-infected adults age 27 years or older: AIDS Clinical Trials Group protocol A5298. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2018;67:1339-1346. doi:10.1093/cid/ciy274
28. Sparano JA, Lee JY, Palefsky J, et al. Cetuximab plus chemoradiotherapy for HIV-associated anal carcinoma: a Phase II AIDS Malignancy Consortium Trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35:727-733. doi:10.1200/JCO.2016.69.1642
29. White EC, Khodayari B, Erickson KT, Lien WW, Hwang-Graziano J, Rao AR. Comparison of toxicity and treatment outcomes in HIV-positive versus HIV-negative patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the anal canal. *Am J Clin Oncol.* 2017;40:386-392. doi:10.1097/COC.0000000000000172
30. Coghill AE, Engels EA, Schymura MJ, Mahale P, Shiels MS. Risk of breast, prostate, and colorectal cancer diagnoses among HIV-infected individuals in the United States. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2018;110:959-966. doi:10.1093/jnci/djy010
31. Calvet GA, Grinsztejn BGJ, Quintana Mde S, et al. Predictors of early menopause in HIV-infected women: a prospective cohort study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2015;212:765. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2014.12.040
32. Gomes AR, Souteiro P, Silva CG, et al. Prevalence of testosterone deficiency in HIV-infected men under antiretroviral therapy. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2016;16:628. doi:10.1186/s12879-016-1892-5
33. Gopal S, Patel MR, Achenbach CJ, et al. Lymphoma immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome in the Center for AIDS Research Network of Integrated Clinical Systems Cohort. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2014;59:279-286. doi:10.1093/cid/ciu270
34. Gopal S, Patel MR, Yanki EL, et al. Association of early HIV viremia with mortality after HIV-associated lymphoma. *AIDS.* 2013;27:2365-2373. doi:10.1097/QAD.0b013e3283635232
35. Casado JL, Machuca I, Bañón S, Morena A, Moltó J, Rodríguez MA. Raltegravir plus two nucleoside analogues as combination antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected patients who require cancer chemotherapy. *Antivir Ther.* 2015;20:773-777. doi:10.3851/IMP2961
36. Torres HA, Rallapalli V, Saxena A, et al. Efficacy and safety of antiretrovirals in HIV-infected patients with cancer. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2014;20:O672-O679. doi:10.1111/1469-0691
37. Torres HA, Mulanovich V. Management of HIV infection in patients with cancer receiving chemotherapy. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2014;59:106-114. doi:10.1093/cid/ciu174
38. Strategies for Management of Antiretroviral Therapy (SMART) Study Group, El-Sadr WM, Lundgren J, et al. CD4+ count-guided interruption of antiretroviral treatment. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;355:2283-2296. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa062360
39. Mounier N, Katlama C, Costagliola D, Chichmanian RM, Spano JP. Drug interactions between antineoplastic and antiretroviral therapies: implications and management for clinical practice. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* 2009;72:10-20. doi:10.1016/j.critrevonc.2008.10.013
40. Rudek MA, Flexner C, Ambinder RF. Use of antineoplastic agents in patients with cancer who have HIV/AIDS. *Lancet Oncol.* 2011;12:905-912. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(11)10056-0
41. Welz T, Wyen C, Hensel M. Drug interactions in the treatment of malignancy in HIV-infected patients. *Oncol Res Treat.* 2017;40:120-127. doi:10.1159/000458443
42. Alam C, Whyte-Allman SK, Omeragic A, Bendayan R. A role and modulation of drug transporters in HIV-1 therapy. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2016;103:121-143. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2016.05.001
43. Huckle A, Ciarimboli G. The role of transporters in the toxicity of chemotherapeutic drugs: focus on transporter for organic cations. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 2016;56(suppl 7):S167-S172. doi:10.1002/jcph.706
44. Weiss J, Rose J, Storch CH, et al. Modulation of human BCRP (ABCG2) activity by anti-HIV drugs. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2007;59:238-245. doi:10.1093/jac/dkl1474
45. Roth M, Obaidat A, Hagenbuch B. OATPs, OATs and OCTs: the organic anion and cation transporters of the *SLCO* and *SLC22A* gene superfamilies. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2012;165:1260-1287. doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01724.x
46. Corona G, Vaccher E, Sandron S, et al. Lopinavir-ritonavir dramatically affects the pharmacokinetics of irinotecan in HIV patients with Kaposi's sarcoma. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2008;83:601-606. doi:10.1038/sj.clpt.6100330
47. Desnoyer A, Kaied FA, Descamps D, et al. Deleterious pharmacokinetic interaction between bexarotene and efavirenz. *AIDS.* 2010;24:2296-2298. doi:10.1097/QAD.0b013e32833d1243.
48. Kotb R, Vincent I, Dulioust A, et al. Life-threatening interaction between antiretroviral therapy and vinblastine in HIV-associated multicentric Castelman's disease. *Eur J Haematol.* 2006;76:269-271. doi:10.1111/j.0902-4441.2005.t011-1-EJH2435.x
49. Mir O, Dessard-Diana B, Louet AI, et al. Severe toxicity related to a pharmacokinetic interaction between docetaxel and ritonavir in HIV-infected patients. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2010;69:99-101. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2125.2009.03555.x
50. Ratner L, Lee J, Tang S, et al. Chemotherapy for human immunodeficiency virus-associated non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in combination with highly active antiretroviral therapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2001;19:2171-2178. doi:10.1200/JCO.2001.19.8.2171
51. Cingolani A, Torti L, Pinnetti C, et al. Detrimental clinical interaction between ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitors and vinblastine in HIV-infected patients with Hodgkin's lymphoma. *AIDS.* 2010;24:2408-2412. doi:10.1097/QAD.0b013e32833db989
52. Bower M, Powles T, Stebbing J, Thirlwell C. Potential antiretroviral drug interactions with cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, and etoposide. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23:1328-1330. doi:10.1200/JCO.2005.05.128
53. Bundow D, Aboulafia DM. Potential drug interaction with paclitaxel and highly active antiretroviral therapy in two

- patients with AIDS-associated Kaposi sarcoma. *Am J Clin Oncol*. 2004;27:81-84.
54. Toffoli G, Errante D, Corona G, et al. Interactions of anti-neoplastic chemotherapy with zidovudine pharmacokinetics in patients with HIV-related neoplasms. *Chemotherapy*. 1999;45:418-428. doi:10.1159/000007235
  55. Fulco PP, Hynicka L, Rackley D. Raltegravir-based HAART regimen in a patient with large B-cell lymphoma. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2010;44:377-382. doi:10.1345/aph.1M370
  56. van Erp NP, Gelderblom H, Karlsson MO, et al. Influence of CYP3A4 inhibition on the steady-state pharmacokinetics of imatinib. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2007;13:7394-7400. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-0346
  57. Rudek MA, Moore PC, Mitsuyasu RT, et al. A phase 1/ pharmacokinetic study of sunitinib in combination with highly active antiretroviral therapy in human immunodeficiency virus-positive patients with cancer: AIDS Malignancy Consortium trial AMC 061. *Cancer*. 2014;120:1194-1202. doi:10.1002/cncr.28554
  58. Busse KH, Formentini E, Alfaro RM, Kovacs JA, Penzak SR. Influence of antiretroviral drugs on the pharmacokinetics of prednisolone in HIV-infected individuals. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;48:561-566. doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e31817bebeb
  59. Desai PB, Nallani SC, Sane RS, et al. Induction of cytochrome P450 3A4 in primary human hepatocytes and activation of the human pregnane X receptor by tamoxifen and 4-hydroxytamoxifen. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2002;30:608-612.
  60. Stader F, Kinvig H, Battagay M, et al. Analysis of clinical drug-drug interaction data to predict magnitudes of uncharacterized interactions between antiretroviral drugs and comedications. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2018;62:e00717-e00718. doi:10.1128/AAC.00717-18
  61. Kaplan JE, Benson C, Holmes KK, et al. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections in HIV-infected adults and adolescents: recommendations from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Institutes of Health, and the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2009;58(RR-4):1-207.
  62. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: prevention and treatment of cancer-related infections. v.1.2019. [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/infections.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/infections.pdf). Accessed December 19, 2018.
  63. Alongi F, Giaj-Levra N, Sciascia S, et al. Radiotherapy in patients with HIV: current issues and review of the literature. *Lancet Oncol*. 2017;18:e379-e393. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30440-0
  64. Xu MJ, Liewen A, Valle L, Olson AC, Zetola NM, Grover S. Organ-specific toxicities due to radiation therapy in cancer patients with or without HIV infection: a systematic review of the literature. *Front Oncol*. 2018;8:276. doi:10.3389/fonc.2018.00276
  65. Lu D, He C, Xia Y, Du Y, Zhang J. Pembrolizumab combined with stereotactic body radiotherapy in a patient with human immunodeficiency virus and advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a case report. *J Med Case Rep*. 2018;12:104. doi:10.1186/s13256-018-1667-2
  66. King JT Jr, Perkal MF, Rosenthal RA, et al. Thirty-day postoperative mortality among individuals with HIV infection receiving antiretroviral therapy and procedure-matched uninfected comparators. *JAMA Surg*. 2015;150:343-351. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2014.2257